## Math 254B Lecture 26 Notes

### Daniel Raban

May 31, 2019

# 1 Intersections of Lines with Fractals and Introduction to Scenery Processes

### **1.1** Intersection of lines with fractals

We have iterated function systems in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\Phi_i(x) = rUx + a_o$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , where U is rotation by  $2\pi\xi$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . We are assuming the SSC, so  $\pi : [k]^{\mathbb{N}} \to K$  is a conjugacy from  $\sigma \to S$ , where K is the attractor of the system. We denote  $K_i = \Phi_i[K]$  and  $K_w = \Phi_w[K]$  for words w.

If  $D \supseteq K$ , then  $D_w \supseteq K_w$  for all w Then  $K = \bigcap_{n>1} \bigcup_{w \in [k]^n} D_w$ .

Given  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $u \in S^1$ , write  $L_{z,u}$  for the line through z parallel to u. We will prove this result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Fix  $L \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . For a.e.  $u \in S^1$ , there is a  $z \in K$  such that

 $\dim(K \cap L_{z,u}) \ge \dim(K \cap L).$ 

The machinery we develop will allow us to prove:

**Theorem 1.2.** dim $(K \cap L) \leq \max\{0, \dim(K) - 1\}$  for all lines L.

Notation: Let P(X) be the set of probability measures on X. If  $Y \in \mathcal{B}_X$ , then  $P(Y) \subseteq P(X)$ . If X is a compact metric space and  $Y \in \mathcal{B}_X$ , then  $P(Y) \in \mathcal{B}_{P(X)}$  for the weak\* topology.<sup>1</sup>

**Definition 1.1.** If  $\mu \in P(P)$ , we say  $\mu$  is carried by Y.

**Definition 1.2.** If  $\mu \in P(X)$  and X is a compact metric space, let

 $W = \{ x \in X : \mu(U) = 0 \text{ for some neighborhood } U \ni x \}.$ 

 $Y := X \setminus W$  is called the **support** of  $\mu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is an exercise in the monotone class theorem. Professor Austin says that he has never met someone who enjoys the monotone class theorem, but this is actually false. I like the monotone class theorem!

Then  $\mu(Y) = 1$ , and

$$Y = \{ x \in X : \mu(B_r(x)) > 0 \,\forall t > 0 \}.$$

If we have a "big" intersection of a line with K in some direction u, by pushing the dynamics forward, we get "big" intersections in all directions of the form  $u_0 e^{-2\pi i m\xi}$ :

$$\dim(K \cap L) = \max_{i} \dim(K_i \cap L) = \max_{i} \dim(\underbrace{S(K_i \cap L)}_{=K \cap \Phi_i^{-1}(L)}).$$

Hope: We want good intersections in a direction  $u \in S^1$ . Maybe we can find lines  $L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}, \ldots$  with directions  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, \in S^1$  such that  $u_n \to u$ . Then  $L^{(n_i)}$  converges to some limit line L in direction u. So  $\dim(K \cap L) = \lim_i \dim(K \cap L^{(u_i)})$ . However, this doesn't work. Hausdorff dimension is incredibly discontinuous. We have to deal with this.<sup>2</sup>

#### 1.2 Scenery dynamics with probability measures

Let  $\alpha: K \to [k], \ \alpha_{[1;n]}: K \to [k]^n$ , and  $\alpha_n: K \to [k]$ . Denote  $[z]_1 = \{z': \alpha_1(z') = \alpha_1(z)\}$ , and  $[z]_1^n = \{z': \alpha_{[1,n]}(z') = \alpha_{[1,n]}(z)\}$ . We want to define

$$T_0(z,\nu) = (Sz, S\left(\frac{\nu(\cdot \cap [z])}{\nu([z]_1)}\right)).$$

This is defined only on  $U = \{(z, \nu) : \nu([z]_1) > 0\}$ . So this is  $T_0 : U \to K \times P(K)$ . We need to restrict to  $X = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} T_0^{-n}[U]$  and let  $T = T_0|_X$ .

**Definition 1.3.** (X,T) is the **CP system**.

We want to use Bogliubov-Krylov in this setting to product invariant distributions on the space of probability measures on  $K \times P(K)$ .

**Lemma 1.1.**  $X = \{(z, \nu) : z \in \text{supp}(\nu)\}.$ 

*Proof.*  $(z,\nu) \in T_0^{-n}[U] \iff \nu([z]_1) > 0 \text{ and } S_*\nu_{[z]_1}([Sz]_1) = \nu_{[z_1]}([z]_2) > 0 \text{ and so on to}$ say  $\nu([z]_1^n) > 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\nu([z]_{1,2}) > 0$ .

Notation: If  $\nu(K_w) > 0$ , then  $\nu^w = S^n_*(\nu|_{K_w})$ . These is a special subclass in  $P(L \times P(K))$ .

**Definition 1.4.** If  $\hat{\mu} \in P(K \times P(K))$  has second marginal  $\overline{\mu}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}$  is **adapted** if

$$\widehat{\mu} = \int_{P(K)} \nu \times \delta_{\nu} \, d\overline{\mu}(\nu).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Analysis is the type of subject where you have to roll up your sleeves and walk into the jungle.

In other words, choosing a random pair  $(z, \nu)$  using  $\hat{\mu}$  is the same as choosing  $\nu$  ccording to  $\overline{\mu}$  and then choosing z according to  $\nu$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** If  $\hat{\mu}$  is adapted, the  $\hat{\mu}(X) = 1$ .

Proof.

$$\widehat{\mu}(X) = \int (\nu \times \delta_{\nu}) * X(d\overline{\mu}(\nu)) = \int \nu(\operatorname{supp}(\nu)) d\overline{\mu}(\nu) = 1.$$

Let us rewrite the definition of boing adapted in the following way:  $\hat{\mu}$  is adapted iff  $f: K \times P(K) \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\int f(z,\nu) \, d\widehat{\mu}(z,\nu) = \int_{P(K)} \underbrace{\left[ \int_{K} f(z,\nu) \, d\nu(z) \right]}_{Qf(z,\nu)} \, d\overline{\mu}(\nu).$$

The function  $Qf(z,\nu)$  does not actually depend on z, but we want to think of it as a function on the same space.

**Lemma 1.3.**  $\hat{\mu}$  is adapted if and only if

$$\int f \, d\widehat{\mu} = \int Q f \, d\widehat{\mu} \qquad \forall f \in C(K \times P(K)).$$

**Lemma 1.4.** Q defines a bounded operator  $C(K \times P(K)) \rightarrow C(K \times P(K))$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that if f is continuous, Qf is continuous. First, let  $f(z,\nu) = f_1(z)f_2(\nu)$ . Then  $Qf(z,\nu) = (\int f_1 d\nu) \cdot f_2(\nu)$ , so  $Q(fz,\nu)$ . By Stone-Weierstrass, a continuous function can be uniformly approximated by functions of the aforementioned form. Now use  $\|Qf\|_u \leq \|f\|_u$ .

**Corollary 1.1.** The set  $P_a$  of adapted distributions is a weak\*-closed subset of  $P(K \times P(K))$ .

Proof. Observe that

$$P_a = \bigcap_{P \in C(K \times P(K))} \{\widehat{\mu} : \int (f - Qf) \, d\widehat{\mu} = 0\}.$$

This is an intersection of vanishing sets of continuous functions.

**Remark 1.1.**  $P_a$  is also convex.

**Proposition 1.1.**  $T_*: P_a \to P_a$  is continuous.

This follows form the following:

**Lemma 1.5.** If  $\hat{\mu} \in P_a$ , then  $T_*\hat{\mu} \in P_a$ , and its second marginal is

$$M\overline{\mu} = \int \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nu(K_i) \cdot \delta_{\nu^i} \, d\overline{\mu}(\nu).$$

We will prove the lemma next time.

**Corollary 1.2.** If  $\widehat{\mu}^{(0)} \in P_a$  and  $\widehat{\mu}^{(n)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_*^i \widehat{\mu}^{(0)}$  and  $\widehat{\mu}^{(n_i)} \xrightarrow{weak^*} \widehat{\mu}$ , then  $\widehat{\mu} \in P_a$  and is *T*-invariant:  $T_* \widehat{\mu}^{(n)} = \widehat{\mu}^{(n)} + O(1/n)$ .